Genes are still incompletely understood and arbitrarily defined [10]. Genes as linear sequences of nucleotides could prove to be too simple a formula, especially for defining Intellectual Property. Interestingly, clashes between patent holders could be the cause of a revival of the scientific pursuit for the abstract rules defining the general properties of the gene in the postgenomic era [e.g. 2,13,14].

References

- 1 Williamson, A.R. (2001) Gene patents: are they socially acceptable monopolies, essential for drug discovery? *Drug Discov. Today* 6, 1092–1093
- 2 Klar, A.J. (1998) Propagating epigenetic states through meiosis: where Mendel's gene is more than a DNA moiety. *Trends Genet.* 14, 299–301
- 3 Novick, A. and Weiner, M. (1957) Enzyme induction as an all-or-none phenomenon. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 43, 553–566
- 4 Williamson, A.R. (2001) Gene patents: socially acceptable monopolies or an unnecessary hindrance to research? *Trends Genet.* 17, 670–673
- 5 Denamur, E. et al. (2000) Evolutionary implications of the frequent horizontal transfer of mismatch repair genes. Cell 103, 711–721
- 6 Keeling, P.J. and Palmer, J.D. (2001) Lateral transfer at the gene and subgenic levels in the evolution of eukaryotic enolase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 98, 10745–10750
- 7 Davis, J.D. et al. (2001) Evolution of an autotransporter: domain shuffling and lateral transfer from pathogenic *Haemophilus* to *Neisseria. J. Bacteriol.* 183, 4626–4635
- 8 Lavorgna, G. *et al.* (2001) Were protein internal repeats formed by 'bricolage'? *Trends Genet.* 17, 120–123
- 9 Jablonka, E. and Lamb, M. (1995) Epigenetic inheritance and evolution, the Lamarckian dimension. Oxford University Press
- 10 Heinemann, J.A. and Roughan, P.D. (2000) New hypotheses on the material nature of horizontally transferred genes. Ann. New York Acad. Sci. 906, 169–186
- 11 Weld, R. and Heinemann, J.A. (2001) Horizontal transfer of proteins between species: part of the big picture of just a genetic vignette? In *Horizontal Gene Transfer*, (2nd edn), (Kado, C. I. and Syvanen, M., eds), pp. 51–62, Academic Press
- 12 Lewontin, R.C. (2000) The triple helix: gene, organism and environment. Harvard University Press
- 13 Petronis, A. (2001) Human morbid genetics revisited: relevance of epigenetics. *Trends Genet.* 17, 142–146
- 14 Jones, P.A. and Laird, P.W. (1999) Cancer epigenetics comes of age. *Nat. Genet.* 21, 163–167

Jack A. Heinemann

Department of Plant and Microbial Sciences
University of Canterbury
Christchurch,
New Zealand
e-mail: j.heinemann@pams.canterbury.ac.nz
and Norwegian Institute of Gene Ecology
Tromsø
Norway

Decision-analytic approach: crucial to drug development ▼

In a recent article in Drug Discovery Today [1], Poland and Wada describe how pharmacoeconomic modelling can be used to guide drug development decisions. Pharmacoeconomics has become an important tool in guiding strategic pricing considerations and reimbursement planning [2]. However, as the authors show, pharmacoeconomic modelling can also be used to synthesize uncertainties and values to aid in the decision-making process during drug development. The authors decided to use the net present value approach to summarize benefits and costs. This is similar to the investment appraisal approach to clinical trial design suggested by Backhouse [3]. From the perspective of a pharmaceutical company, designing a clinical trial involves a series of investment appraisal decisions. Therefore, applying decisionanalytic techniques to clinical trial design allows the explicit analysis of the assumptions and decisions to be made during the drug development process.

The case study by Poland and Wada is of particular interest because Highly Active Antiretroviral Therapy (HAART) has been shown to save costs to society in developed countries with a low unemployment rate, such as Switzerland [4,5]. This is because the human capital approach for estimating productivity costs is then typically used in a cost-effectiveness analysis from the societal perspective. From the healthcare

perspective, HAART has been shown to be cost-effective [4,5]. However, from the perspective of the pharmaceutical company, a cost-benefit analysis is required to assess whether the financial revenues outweigh the costs associated with the development of a new compound. The net present value approach does just that. The cost of discovering and developing a new drug has been estimated to exceed US\$300 million (1995 US\$) [3]. Given the high development costs, it is crucial to make decisions that maximize expected gains from a pharmaceutical company's perspective. A rigorous decision-analytic approach can help in achieving this goal.

> **Pedram Sendi** University of Basel Basel Switzerland

References

- Poland, B. and Wada, R. (2001) Combining drug-disease and economic modelling to inform drug development decisions. *Drug Discov. Today* 6, 1165–1170
- 2 DiMasi, J.A. et al. (2001) Emerging role of pharmacoeconomics in the research and development decision-making process. Pharmaceconomics 19, 753–766
- 3 Backhouse, M.E. (1998) An investment appraisal approach to clinical trial design. Health Econ. 7, 605–619
- 4 Sendi, P.P. et al. (1999) Cost effectiveness of highly active antiretroviral therapy in HIVinfected patients. Swiss HIV Cohort Study. AIDS 13, 1115–1122
- 5 Sendi, P. et al. (2001) Highly Active Antiretroviral Therapy: Pharmacoeconomic issues in the management of HIV infection. Pharmacoeconomics 19, 709–713

DDT online

www.drugdiscoverytoday.com

BioMedNet Reviews

5000+ review articles including *Trends* & *Current Opinions*

Bookmark: http://reviews.bmn.com/